|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Team | 6 |
| Version History | Fine – but spell-check please! |
| Table of Contents | Fine. |
| Purpose/ Executive Summary | Fine. |
| Domain Analysis | Poor because none of the apps are cited. Screenshots would help illustrate the points made. A few little bits of subjective analysis, e.g. Newcastle Castle’s app not being visually appealing – what HCI literature did you use to reach this conclusion? There are some good and relevant systems found and clearly a lot of effort gone into doing the research but the way in which it is presented in the document needs major improvement. The University app listed in 2.2 could also appear in Section 2.1 as part of this analysis. |
| Project Purpose | Decent but avoid anything subjective about the GUI as it’s impossible to evaluate this later due to all users having different opinions. |
| Roles and Deliverables | Very good. |
| Project Plan | This needs a bit of work. The implementation part of the Semester 2 plan doesn’t actually seem to include anything for doing the implementation itself. Similarly, the documentation part of the Semester 2 plan doesn’t include any activities for writing any the user documentation. |
| Hardware and Software | The “Computers” part is a bit vague but otherwise this is OK. |
| References | If citing by author, these should be in alphabetical order. Make sure the in-text citations referring to the reference list are present and that you expand the list to include all items covered including similar systems in the domain analysis. |
| Definition of Terms | Stated that none are needed. |
| Functional Requirements | Good. Maybe re-order to get all the H together, then all the M, etc. Also, you have two sections so try to distinguish between them as you have two requirement 1s as things stand. Maybe data requirements are 1.2, 1.2, etc. and features requirements are 2.1, etc. |
| Non-Functional Requirements | These are really hard to do properly and you’ve done them very well. “The app may include text and user interface scaling” is a bit vague – it may? It either will or won’t, so be more precise here. Searching is perhaps more of a functional requirement as a search function is an actual function of your app. Again, try to deal with the duplicate numbering system. |
| Assumptions | Not included. |
| Constraints / Dependencies | Not included. |
| Software Design |  |
| Overview of what the software will do and not do. | Good overview of what the software will do but no mention of what it will not do. |
| Modifications in light of comments made or changes deemed necessary | Not included. I would have thought something from Dragons’ Den could have been mentioned here. |
| System Architecture – describe design decisions (Architecture diagram) | Not included. |
| High level overview of how the functionality and responsibilities of the system are partitioned and assigned to components (deployment diagram, component diagram) | Not included. |
| Package and Class diagrams which show dependencies between components | Not included. |
| Show the dynamic behaviour of the system (sequence diagrams that shows communication between objects. Activity diagrams that show the state of objects) | Not included. |
| GUI, Human Interface Views – Describe how the user accesses functionality | Not included. |
| Test Plan | Very good. Nice description of the testing strategy, all you need to do now is to wait until the system is ready to test and then fill in the rest of the plan. |
| General Comments | Some good aspects to the document, e.g. the requirements and the test plan. It was unfortunate that you didn’t include any UML or GUI designs. This means you have missed out on a valuable opportunity to get feedback on your designs before submitting the final document. Comments provided by John C. |